

Drew Wetherell Bourne Amenity Ltd The Wharf Newenden Cranbrook Kent TN18 5QG

> 10th February 2021 Our Ref: TOHA/21/9813/SS Your Ref: PO 66751

Dear Sirs

Soil Analysis Report: Lightweight Subsoil

We have completed the analysis of the soil sample recently submitted, referenced *Lightweight Washed Sand Subsoil*, and have pleasure reporting our findings.

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the material for use as a lightweight subsoil in a rooftop garden environment.

This report presents the results of analysis for the sample submitted to our office, and it should be considered 'indicative' of the soil source. The report and results should therefore not be used by third parties as a means of verification or validation testing or waste designation purposes, especially after the soil has left the Bourne Amenity Ltd site.

SAMPLE EXAMINATION

The sample was described as a yellow (Munsell Colour 10YR 7/6), slightly moist, friable, non-calcareous SAND with a single grain structure*. The sample was free of stone-sized material, with the exception of frequent lightweight expanded clay aggregate particles (leca). No deleterious materials, unusual odours, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

*This appraisal of soil structure was made from examination of a disturbed sample(s). Structure is a key soil characteristic that may only be accurately assessed by examination in an in-situ state.

ANALYTICAL SCHEDULE

The sample was submitted to a UKAS and MCERTS accredited laboratory for a range of physical and chemical tests to confirm the composition and fertility of the soil, and the concentration of selected potential contaminants. The following parameters were determined:

- detailed particle size analysis (5 sands, silt, clay);
- stone content (2-20mm, 20-50mm, >50mm);
- bulk density (oven dry, field capacity, saturated);
- saturated hydraulic conductivity;
- porosity;
- particle density;
- visible contaminants (>2mm);
- pH and electrical conductivity values;
- calcium carbonate;
- · exchangeable sodium percentage;
- · organic matter content;
- heavy metals (Sb, As, B, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Cr VI, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Se, V, Zn);
- soluble sulphate, elemental sulphur, acid volatile sulphide;
- total cyanide and total (mono) phenols;
- aromatic and aliphatic TPH (C5-C44 banding);
- speciated PAHs (US EPA16 suite);
- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX);
- asbestos screen.

The results are presented on the attached Certificate of Analysis and an interpretation of the results is given below.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Particle Size Analysis & Stone Content

The sample fell into the *sand* texture class and would be described as light in texture. Further detailed particle size analysis revealed the sample to have a sufficiently narrow particle size distribution and a predominance of *medium sand* (0.25-0.50mm), followed by *coarse sand* (0.50-1.0mm). This is acceptable for subsoil for roof garden environments as porosity levels are maintained under a degree of consolidation and the risk of particle interpacking is minimised.

With the exception of 'leca' particles, the sample was virtually free of 'stone' sized material (>2mm).

Bulk Density, Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity and Porosity

The sample displayed slightly lower bulk density values compared to those typically recorded for the base material without the addition of leca. The suitability of the bulk density results for the requirements of the recipient site should be confirmed by the project engineer.

The saturated hydraulic conductivity of the sample was high (10.5 mm/minute or 635 mm/hour), and suitable for lightweight subsoil, provided a free draining soil is suited to the recipient scheme.

The sample displayed a satisfactory total porosity value.

pH and Electrical Conductivity Values

The sample was slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.1), with a pH value that would be suitable as subsoil for general landscape purposes.

The electrical conductivity (salinity) values (water and CaSO₄ extracts) were low, which indicates that soluble salts should not be present at levels that would be harmful to plants.

TOHA/21/9813/SS/Feb Page 2

Organic Matter

The organic matter content was low (<0.5%) and consistent with that of subsoil.

Potential Contaminants

In the absence of site-specific criteria, the concentrations that affect human health have been assessed for residential with homegrown produce end-use against the Suitable For Use Levels (S4ULs) presented in the LQM/CIEH S4ULs for Human Health Risk Assessment (2015) and the DEFRA SP1010: Development of Category 4 Screening Levels for Assessment of Land Affected by Contamination – Policy Companion Document (2014).

Of the potential contaminants determined, none was found at levels that exceed their guideline values.

Phytotoxic Contaminants

Of the phytotoxic (toxic to plants) contaminants determined (copper, nickel, zinc), none was found at levels that exceeded their guideline values.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the material for use as a lightweight subsoil for landscaping purposes in a rooftop garden environment.

From the sample examination and laboratory analysis, the substrate was described as a slightly alkaline, non-saline, non-calcareous sand with frequent leca particles. The sample possessed a high saturated hydraulic conductivity and satisfactory total porosity value. The organic matter content was low. Of the potential contaminants determined, none exceeded their respective guideline values.

Based on our findings, the substrate represented by this sample should be suitable for use as a lightweight growing medium in roof garden environment, provided a free draining soil is suited to the recipient scheme. The suitability of the bulk density results for the requirements of the recipient site should be confirmed by the project engineer.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Soil Handling Recommendations

It is important to maintain the physical condition of the soil and avoid structural damage during all phases of soil handling (e.g. stockpiling, respreading, cultivating, planting, seeding or turfing). As a consequence, soil handling operations should be carried out when soil is reasonably dry and non-plastic (friable) in consistency.

It is important to ensure that the soil is not unnecessarily compacted by trampling or trafficking by site machinery. If the soil is compacted at any stage during the course of soiling or landscaping works, it should be cultivated appropriately to relieve the compaction.

TOHA/21/9813/SS/Feb Page 3

We hope this report meets with your approval and provides the necessary information. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if we can be of further assistance.

Yours faithfully

Tilly Kimble-Wilde BSc MSc

Graduate Soil Scientist

mkimble-Wilde

For & on behalf of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP

Ceri Spears BSc MSc MISoilSci Senior Associate

TOHA/21/9813/SS/Feb Page 4



Client:	Bourne Amenity Ltd
Project	Lightweight Subsoil for Roof Gardens
Job:	Physical and Horticultural Properties
Date:	10/02/2021
Job Ref No:	TOHA/21/9813/SS

Sample Reference]	Lightweight Washed Sand
				Subsoil
		Accreditation		
Clay (<0.002mm)	%	UKAS		1
Silt (0.002-0.063mm)	%	UKAS		1
Very Fine Sand (0.05-0.15mm)	%	UKAS		1
Fine Sand (0.15-0.25mm)	%	UKAS		9
Medium Sand (0.25-0.50mm)	%	UKAS		67
Coarse Sand (0.50-1.0mm)	%	UKAS		19
Very Coarse Sand (1.0-2.0mm)	%	UKAS		2
Total Sand (0.05-2.0mm)		UKAS		98
Texture Class (UK Classification)		UKAS		S
Stones (2-20mm)	% DW	UKAS		14
Stones (20-50mm)	% DW	UKAS		0
Stones (>50mm)	% DW	UKAS		0
			=" =.	
Bulk Density (at Field Capacity)	g/cm ³	A2LA		1.73
Bulk Density (at Saturation)	g/cm ³	A2LA		1.61
Bulk Density (when Oven Dried)	g/cm ³	A2LA		1.35
Field Capacity	% v/v	A2LA		38
Particle Density	g/cm ³	A2LA]	1.82
0.1	mm/hr	A O I A	1	630
Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	mm/min	A2LA		10.5
Total Porosity	%	A2LA		26
Porosity at Field Capacity		A2LA		0
pH Value (1:2.5 water extract)	units	UKAS		7.1
Calcium Carbonate	%	UKAS		<1.0
Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract)	uS/cm	UKAS		203
Electrical Conductivity (1:2 CaSO ₄ extract)	uS/cm	UKAS		2197
Exchangeable Sodium Percentage	%	UKAS]	2.8
Organic Matter (LOI)	%	UKAS	1	<0.5
[- J			_	
Visible Contaminants: Plastics >2.00mm	%	UKAS		0
Visible Contaminants: Sharps >2.00mm	%	UKAS		0

S = SAND

Visual Examination

The sample was described as a yellow (Munsell Colour 10YR 7/6), slightly moist, friable, non-calcareous SAND with a single grain structure. The sample was free of stone-sized material, with the exception of frequent lightweight expanded clay aggregate particles (leca). No deleterious materials, unusual odours, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

mt imble-tilde

Tilly Kimble-Wilde
BSc MSc
Graduate Soil Scientist

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with

The contents of this certificate shall not be reproduced without the express written permission of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP.



Client:	Bourne Amenity Ltd
Project	Lightweight Subsoil for Roof Gardens
Job:	Chemical Properties
Date:	10/02/2021
Job Ref No:	TOHA/21/9813/SS

			Lightweigh
Sample Reference			Washed Sa Subsoil
		Accreditation	Subson
Total Antimony (Sb)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Total Arsenic (As)	mg/kg	MCERTS	7.7
Total Barium (Ba)	mg/kg	MCERTS	7.1
Total Beryllium (Be)	mg/kg	MCERTS	0.2
Total Cadmium (Cd)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.2
Total Chromium (Cr)	mg/kg	MCERTS	17
Hexavalent Chromium (Cr VI)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 4.0
Total Copper (Cu)	mg/kg	MCERTS	24
Total Lead (Pb)	mg/kg	MCERTS	4
Total Mercury (Hg) Total Nickel (Ni)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.3 18
Total Selenium (Se)	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 1.0
Total Vanadium (V)	mg/kg	MCERTS	30
Total Zinc (Zn)	mg/kg	MCERTS	30
Water Soluble Boron (B)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.2
Total Cyanide (CN)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1
Total (mono) Phenols	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Elemental Sulphur (S)	mg/kg	MCERTS	99
Acid Volatile Sulphide (S)	mg/kg	MCERTS	400
Water Soluble Sulphate (SO ₄)	g/l	MCERTS	0.13
		•	
Naphthalene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Pyrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05
Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05 < 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	▲ MCERTS	< 0.05
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05
Total PAHs (sum USEPA16)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.80
Aliphatic TPH >C5 - C6	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
Aliphatic TPH >C6 - C8	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
Aliphatic TPH >C8 - C10	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
Aliphatic TPH >C10 - C12	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Aliphatic TPH >C12 - C16	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 2.0
Aliphatic TPH > C16 - C21	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 8.0
Aliphatic TPH >C21 - C35	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 8.0
Aliphatic TPH (C5 - C35) Aromatic TPH >C5 - C7	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 10
Aromatic TPH >C5 - C7 Aromatic TPH >C7 - C8	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001 < 0.001
Aromatic TPH >C8 - C10	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
Aromatic TPH >C10 - C12	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0
Aromatic TPH >C12 - C16	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 2.0
Aromatic TPH >C16 - C21	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 10
Aromatic TPH >C21 - C35	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 10
Aromatic TPH (C5 - C35)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 10
		•	
Benzene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
Toluene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
p & m-xylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
o-xylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001

Lightweight and

< 1.0
7.7
7.1
0.2
< 0.2
17
< 4.0
24
4
< 0.3
18
< 1.0
30
30
< 0.2
< 1
< 1.0
99
400
0.13
< 0.05
0.05

< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.05
< 0.80
< 0.001
< 0.001
< 0.001
< 1.0
< 2.0
< 8.0
< 8.0
< 10
< 0.001
< 0.001
< 0.001
< 1.0
< 2.0
< 10
< 10
< 10
< 0.001

< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001		
< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001		
< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001	<	0.001
< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001	<	0.001
< 0.001 < 0.001	<	0.001
< 0.001	<	0.001
	<	0.001
Not-detected	<	0.001
Not-detected		•
	Not-	detected

mkimble-Wilde

Tilly Kimble-Wilde BSc MSc Graduate Soil Scientist

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with

Asbestos Screen

The contents of this certificate shall not be reproduced without the express written permission of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP.

ISO 17025

ND/D